

Appl. No. 10/530,095  
Amdt. Dated October 24, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of October 5, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 81784.0323  
Customer No.: 26021

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:  
Yoshihiro OKADA, et al.  
Serial No: 10/530,095  
Confirmation No.: 7508  
Filed: April 1, 2005  
For: SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATE DEVICE  
AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING  
SAME

Art Unit: 2813  
Examiner: Jack S.J. Chen

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via electronic filing on the date indicated below to:

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 24, 2007

Rebecca Maiden

Attorney

Signature

10/24/07  
Date

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 2213-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the Office Action of October 5, 2007 which makes a restriction requirement between claims 1-5 (Group I) and claims 6-9 (Group II). In response to this requirement, Applicants elect the claims 6-9 of Group II for purposes of further prosecution. According to the Office Action, claims 6-9 are drawn to a fabrication method classified in class 438, subclass 48. Claims 6-9 encompass the elected invention.

The restriction requirement having been responded to, Applicants respectfully request an action on the merits of elected claims 6-9.

Appl. No. 10/530,095  
Amdt. Dated October 24, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of October 5, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 81784.0323  
Customer No.: 26021

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-1314.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Date: October 24, 2007

By: 

John P. Scherlach  
Registration No. 23,009  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

1999 Avenue of the Stars  
Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (310) 785-4600  
Facsimile: (310) 785-4601